fake_yoneda

The hom functors

Definition. If C is a category, there are correspondences C(X, -) and C(-, Y) defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ comprises
 - $\circ\,$ a function sending each *object* $A\in {\mathcal C}_0$ to the set of all morphisms f:X o A.
 - a function sending each *morphism* $u: A \to A'$ in \mathcal{C} to a function $\mathcal{C}(X, A) \to \mathcal{C}(X, A')$, defined taking $f: X \to A$ to $u \circ f: X \to A' \to A'$.
 - \circ The equalities $\mathcal{C}(X,g\circ f)=\mathcal{C}(X,g)\circ\mathcal{C}(X,f)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X,id_A)=id_{\mathcal{C}(X,A)}$ hold.
- $\mathcal{C}(-, Y)$ comprises
 - $\circ\;$ a function sending each *object* $A\in \mathcal{C}_0$ to the set of all morphisms f:A
 ightarrow Y.
 - \circ a function sending each *morphism* $u: A \to A'$ in \mathcal{C} to a function $\mathcal{C}(A', Y) \to \mathcal{C}(A, Y)$, defined taking $f: A' \to Y$ to $f \circ u: A \to A' \to A' \to Y$ to $f \circ u: A \to A' \to A' \to X'$.
 - $\circ\;$ The equalities $\mathcal{C}(g\circ f,Y)=\mathcal{C}(f,Y)\circ\mathcal{C}(g,Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(id_A,Y)=id_{\mathcal{C}(A,Y)}$ hold.

 $\mathcal{C}(X,-)$ and $\mathcal{C}(-,Y)$ are the (covariant and contravariant) "hom functors" on the objects X and Y.

Some examples of $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ and $\mathcal{C}(-, Y)$ in categories we know.

- In $C = \text{Set}_t$, let $X = \{0, 1\}$; then $\text{Set}_t(A, \{0, 1\})$ is the set of all subsets of A, and $\text{Set}_t(u, \{0, 1\})$ is the function sending a subset $U \subseteq A'$ to the subset $u^{-1}A' := \{a \in A \mid u(a) \in U\}$.
- In $\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{Set}_{p}$, let $X = \{ \texttt{true}, \texttt{false}, \bot \}$; then $\operatorname{Set}_{p}(A, X)$ is the set of predicates that might fail, defined on A.
- In $\mathcal{C} = \text{Graph}$, fix a (small! Say, with three vertices) graph H and describe the set of graph homomorphisms $G \to H$.
- In $C = (\mathbb{N}, +)$, there is only one object $X = \{\bullet\}$. Then, $(\mathbb{N}, +)(\bullet, -)$ sends \bullet to the set of morphisms $\bullet \to \bullet$, i.e. to $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and a morphism n to the function $_{-} + n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$.
- In $C = (\mathbb{N}, \leq)$, let n = 7. Then $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)(7, -)$ sends $0, 1, \ldots, 6$ to the set of morphisms $0, 1, \ldots, 6, 7 \rightarrow 7$ (i.e., to a single morphism " $0, 1, \ldots, 6, 7 \leq 7$ "), and all number $8, 9, \ldots$ to the empty set.

Isomorphism of functors \iff isomorphism of objects

Since $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ and $\mathcal{C}(-, Y)$ are defined in terms of *families* of sets

$$\{\mathcal{C}(A,Y) \mid A \in \mathcal{C}_0\} \hspace{1cm} \{\mathcal{C}(X,B) \mid B \in \mathcal{C}_0\}$$

it follows that the correct notion of isomorphism between C(X, -) and C(X', -) must take them all into consideration, and thus consists of a family of isomorphisms (=bijective functions)

$$\mathcal{C}(X,A) \xrightarrow{lpha_A} \mathcal{C}(X',A)$$

all of which are "polymorphic" in the "variable" A.

This means that they behave coherently according the possibility to define a function $\mathcal{C}(X, u) : \mathcal{C}(X, A) \to \mathcal{C}(X, A')$ out of a function $u : A \to A'$, and put together both in a square diagram

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(X,A) & \stackrel{lpha_A}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C}(X',A) \ \mathcal{C}(X,u) & & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(X',u) \ \mathcal{C}(X,A') & \stackrel{lpha_A}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C}(X',A') \end{aligned}$$

declaring that $\alpha_{A'} \circ \mathcal{C}(X, u) = \mathcal{C}(X', u) \circ \alpha_A$.

Polymorphism is (almost) always present when one defines a widget depending on a parameter, and wants it to behave well under change of that parameter.

For example: let A={red,green,blue} be a set; let B={cabbage, goat, wolf} be another set. Obviously $A \cong B$ (for example, under the map {red -> cabbage, green -> goat, blue -> wolf}). Then, recall how the List<A> type is defined in CRUST:

If we want to express the fact that List<A> comes equipped with a function $h : A \rightarrow List<A>$ sending a : A to cons(a, nil()) for all A 's, polymorphically in A, we have to require that, for every way of transforming the type A on which List<A> depends, using $f : A \rightarrow B$, we obtain

file:///home/fouche/repos/ct-course/24/fake_yoneda.html

2/25/24, 3:06 PM

List (h a) = h (f a)

which means List<f> (cons(a, nil()) = cons(f(a), nil()) (which is true):

Polymorphism (or in its mathematical name, *naturality*) is a powerful generalization of this idea, that applies to all correspondences like $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ and $\mathcal{C}(-,Y)$ and $\operatorname{List}\langle-\rangle$.

The first important observation

If X is isomorphic to Y, then C(-, X) is isomorphic to C(-, Y).

There is an easy way to generate an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}(-,Y)\cong\mathcal{C}(-,Y')$, in the above sense [=a "natural" isomorphism] from a pre-existing isomorphism $Y \cong Y'$ in \mathcal{C} , i.e. from a pair

$$u:Y o Y', \quad v:Y' o Y$$

such that $id_Y = Y \xrightarrow{u} Y' \xrightarrow{v} Y$ and $id_{Y'} = Y' \xrightarrow{v} Y \xrightarrow{u} Y'$. Indeed,

• the fact that the functors $\mathcal{C}(A, -)$ exist, yields for every A a function $\mathcal{C}(A, Y) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(A, u)} \mathcal{C}(A, Y')$, and $\mathcal{C}(A, Y') \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(A, v)} \mathcal{C}(A, Y)$. It must then be that

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(A,u)\circ\mathcal{C}(A,v)&=\mathcal{C}(A,u\circ v)\ &=\mathcal{C}(A,id_{Y'})\ &=id_{\mathcal{C}(A,Y')}\ \mathcal{C}(A,v)\circ\mathcal{C}(A,u)&=\mathcal{C}(A,v\circ u)\ &=\mathcal{C}(A,id_Y)\ &=id_{\mathcal{C}(A,Y)} \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{C}(A,Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(A,Y')$ are bijective functions, inverse to each other.

- Now, if f:A o A' is given, polymorphism/naturality for $\hat{u}_X:=\mathcal{C}(X,u)$ is expressed by the fact that the square

$$egin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(A',Y) & \stackrel{\hat{u}_{A'}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}(A',Y') \ c_{(f,Y)} & & & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}_{(f,Y')} \ \mathcal{C}(A,Y) & \stackrel{\hat{u}_{A}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}(A,Y') \end{array}$$

commutes, which means that

$$u \circ (_ \circ f) = (u \circ _) \circ f.$$

This is ensured by the fact that composition is associative! Similarly, for v,

$$egin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(A',Y') & \stackrel{v_{A'}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C}(A',Y) \ \mathcal{C}(f,Y) & & & & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(f,Y') \ \mathcal{C}(A,Y') & \stackrel{\hat{v}_A}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C}(A,Y) \end{array}$$

(observe that one can "glue" together the two squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(A',Y) & \stackrel{\hat{u}_{A'}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}(A',Y') \stackrel{\hat{v}_{A'}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}(A',Y) \\ c_{(f,Y)} & & \downarrow c_{(f,Y')} & \downarrow c_{(f,Y)} \\ \mathcal{C}(A,Y) & \stackrel{\hat{u}_{A}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}(A,Y') \stackrel{\hat{v}_{A}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}(A,Y) \end{array}$$

to get the identities as horizontal compositions.)

2/25/24, 3:06 PM

The second (more) important observation

fake_yoneda

C(-, X) iso to C(-, Y) implies that X is iso to Y.

Or in other words, *all* polymorphic/natural isomorphisms $\alpha_A : C(A, X) \cong C(A, Y)$ originates from an isomorphism $X \cong Y$ in C.

This is important because

- · it allows to derive isomorphism of objects from isomorphisms of functors using those objects as parameters
- it allows to formally reason about isomorphism: in order to prove that $X \cong Y$ (abstract objects that you can touch), fix an object A and look at the sets C(A, X), C(A, Y) (concrete sets that you can enumerate): if these sets are *polymorphically* isomorphic, then X must be isomorphic to Y.

The proof will be very fun.

Suppose there is a polymorphic isomorphism $\alpha_A : \mathcal{C}(A, X) \cong \mathcal{C}(A, Y)$. Spelled out: we have α given as a family of bijections $\alpha_A : \mathcal{C}(A, X) \to \mathcal{C}(A, Y)$ with inverse $\alpha_A^{-1} : \mathcal{C}(A, Y) \to \mathcal{C}(A, X)$, and such that for every $f : A \to A'$ the squares

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{C}(A',X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A'}} & \mathcal{C}(A',Y) & \mathcal{C}(A',X) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{A'}}^{\alpha_{A'}} & \mathcal{C}(A',Y) \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}(A,X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & \mathcal{C}(A,Y) & \mathcal{C}(A,X) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_A^{-1}} & \mathcal{C}(A,Y) \end{array}$$

commute. This means:

 $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \hspace{0.2cm} \forall f^{A \rightarrow A'} g^{A' \rightarrow X} \hspace{0.2cm} : \hspace{0.2cm} \alpha_{A}(g \circ f) = \alpha_{A'}(g) \circ f \\ \bullet \hspace{0.2cm} \forall f^{A \rightarrow A'} h^{A' \rightarrow Y} \hspace{0.2cm} : \hspace{0.2cm} \alpha_{A}^{-1}(h \circ f) = \alpha_{A'}^{-1}(h) \circ f \end{array}$

Then,

- look at the component $\alpha_X : \mathcal{C}(X, X) \to \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. The set $\mathcal{C}(X, X)$ is not empty, so $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ contains at least one element, $\alpha_X(id_X) =: u$.
- look at the component $\alpha_Y^{-1} : \mathcal{C}(Y, Y) \to \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$. The set $\mathcal{C}(Y, Y)$ is not empty, so $\mathcal{C}(Y, X)$ contains at least one element, $\alpha_Y^{-1}(id_Y) =: v$.

Now,

$$egin{aligned} u \circ v &= lpha_X(id_X) \circ oldsymbol{lpha}_Y^{-1}(id_Y) \ &= lpha_X(id_X) \circ oldsymbol{f} \ &= lpha_X(id_X) \circ oldsymbol{f} \ &= lpha_X(id_X) \circ oldsymbol{f} \ &= lpha_Y(lpha_Y^{-1}(id_Y)) \ &= id_Y \ v \circ u &= lpha_Y^{-1}(id_Y) \circ oldsymbol{lpha}_X(id_X) \ &= lpha_Y^{-1}(id_Y) \circ oldsymbol{a}_X(id_X) \ &= lpha_X^{-1}(id_Y) \circ oldsymbol{f} \ &= lpha_X^{-1}(id_Y \circ oldsymbol{f}) \ &= lpha_X^{-1}(id_Y \circ oldsymbol{a}_X(id_X)) \ &= lpha_X^{-1}(id_Y \circ lpha_X(id_X)) \ &= lpha_X^{-1}(lpha_X(id_X)) \ &= lpha_X^{-1}(lpha_X(id_X)) \ &= id_X \end{aligned}$$