

a hint of Chu

December 4, 2020

The celebrated **Stone duality** asserts that there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Stone}^{\text{op}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{BA}$$

between Boolean algebras and **Stone spaces**

The celebrated **Stone duality** asserts that there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Stone}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathbf{BA}$$

between Boolean algebras and **Stone spaces** (totally disconnected, compact, T2);

The celebrated **Stone duality** asserts that there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Stone}^{\text{op}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{BA}$$

between Boolean algebras and **Stone spaces** (totally disconnected, compact, T2);

- The Boolean algebra corresponding to a Stone space consists of its clopen sets.

The celebrated **Stone duality** asserts that there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Stone}^{\text{op}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{BA}$$

between Boolean algebras and **Stone spaces** (totally disconnected, compact, T2);

- The Boolean algebra corresponding to a Stone space consists of its clopen sets.
- The Stone space associated to a Boolean algebra B is the set of its ultrafilters, equipped with a topology having as basis

$$\left\{ V_b = \{ S \in \mathcal{F}(B) \mid b \in S \} \mid b \in B \right\}.$$

This generates a ‘Zariski’ topology on the set of ultrafilters.

Fun fact

The duality arises as ‘homming with a dualising object’, in the sense that the two-element set $\underline{2} = \{0, 1\}$ carries

Fun fact

The duality arises as ‘homming with a dualising object’, in the sense that the two-element set $\underline{2} = \{0, 1\}$ carries

- a **Boolean algebra** structure, as a ring $\underline{2}_B = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$;

Fun fact

The duality arises as ‘homming with a dualising object’, in the sense that the two-element set $\underline{2} = \{0, 1\}$ carries

- a **Boolean algebra** structure, as a ring $\underline{2}_B = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$;
- a **frame** structure, given by its obvious order $\underline{2}_S = \{0 < 1\}$.

Homming a topological space into $\underline{2}_S$ yields a functor $\mathbf{CHaus}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{BA}$ that restricted to Stone spaces is an equivalence;

Fun fact

The duality arises as ‘homming with a dualising object’, in the sense that the two-element set $\underline{2} = \{0, 1\}$ carries

- a **Boolean algebra** structure, as a ring $\underline{2}_B = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$;
- a **frame** structure, given by its obvious order $\underline{2}_S = \{0 < 1\}$.

Homming a topological space into $\underline{2}_S$ yields a functor $\mathbf{CHaus}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{BA}$ that restricted to Stone spaces is an equivalence;

homming a Boolean algebra into $\underline{2}_B$ yields the set of ultrafilters, and also the Zariski topology can be recovered from $\mathbf{BA}(E, \underline{2}_B)$.

Clearly, something is going on here;

Clearly, something is going on here;

the object $\underline{2}$ sits between the two categories **BA** and **Stone** making them equivalent via an homming functor;

Clearly, something is going on here;

the object $\underline{2}$ sits between the two categories **BA** and **Stone** making them equivalent via an homming functor;

Definition (Dualising object)

It is a set D that carries the structure of an \mathcal{A} -object and a \mathcal{B} -object, turning \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} into equivalent categories via

$$\mathcal{A}(-, D_{\mathcal{A}}) \dashv \mathcal{B}(-, D_{\mathcal{B}})$$

(slightly imprecise ↗)

Clearly, something is going on here;

the object $\underline{2}$ sits between the two categories **BA** and **Stone** making them equivalent via an homming functor;

Definition (Dualising object)

It is a set D that carries the structure of an \mathcal{A} -object and a \mathcal{B} -object, turning \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} into equivalent categories via

$$\mathcal{A}(-, D_{\mathcal{A}}) \dashv \mathcal{B}(-, D_{\mathcal{B}})$$

(slightly imprecise ↗)

Principle

Interesting dualities arise from dualising objects. (Name your favourite one in your head, now)

Question: is there a general categorical framework in which dualities can be, if not subsumed, understood as parts of a general theory?

Question: is there a general categorical framework in which dualities can be, if not subsumed, understood as parts of a general theory?

Answer: yes.

The Chu construction

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1970's book *Chu spaces*

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1973's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1972's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - **fully faithfully** embedded;

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1974's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - **fully faithfully** embedded;
 - **coreflectively**;

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1970's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - fully faithfully embedded;
 - coreflectively;
 - with a dual copy...

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1977's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - fully faithfully embedded;
 - coreflectively;
 - with a dual copy...
 - ...found thanks to a self-involution of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$;

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1977's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - fully faithfully embedded;
 - coreflectively;
 - with a dual copy...
 - ...found thanks to a self-involution of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$;

Let's unpack:

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{i} \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$$

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1977's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - fully faithfully embedded;
 - coreflectively;
 - with a dual copy...
 - ...found thanks to a self-involution of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$;

Let's unpack:

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$$

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1979's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - fully faithfully embedded;
 - coreflectively;
 - with a dual copy...
 - ...found thanks to a self-involution of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$;

Let's unpack:

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D) \qquad \mathcal{A} \xlongequal{\quad} \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$$

- Introduced in joint work by M. Barr and P. H. Chu in 1977's book *Chu spaces*
- Introduced to find a natural place where a category \mathcal{A} equipped with a distinguished object can be
 - **fully faithfully** embedded;
 - **coreflectively**;
 - with a **dual copy**...
 - ...found thanks to a **self-involution** of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$;

Let's unpack:

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \end{array} \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathcal{A}, D)^{\text{op}} \end{array}$$

This construction is very general.

This construction is very general.

To fix ideas, we shall concentrate on the case where \mathcal{A} is the category of sets, and D a generic set. (More than often, and surely for all concrete models, $D = \{0, 1\}$)

The construction of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$: define a category having

- Objects, the triples $\langle A, X, r : A \times Y \rightarrow D \rangle$ of sets A, X and a pairing function r ; such a triple is a **Chu space** $\mathcal{S} = (A, X, r)$.

The construction of $\text{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$: define a category having

- Objects, the triples $\langle A, X, r : A \times X \rightarrow D \rangle$ of sets A, X and a pairing function r ; such a triple is a **Chu space** $\mathcal{S} = (A, X, r)$.
- morphisms the pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A \times X \xrightarrow{r} D \rangle & & \\ u_* \downarrow & \uparrow u^* & \\ \langle B \times Y \xrightarrow{s} D \rangle & & \end{array}$$

such that the diagram

is commutative.

The construction of $\text{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$: define a category having

- Objects, the triples $\langle A, X, r : A \times X \rightarrow D \rangle$ of sets A, X and a pairing function r ; such a triple is a **Chu space** $\mathcal{S} = (A, X, r)$.
- morphisms the pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A \times X \xrightarrow{r} D \rangle & & \\ u_* \downarrow & \uparrow u^* & \\ \langle B \times Y \xrightarrow{s} D \rangle & & \end{array}$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B \times Y \\ & & \downarrow \\ A \times X & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The construction of $\text{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$: define a category having

- Objects, the triples $\langle A, X, r : A \times Y \rightarrow D \rangle$ of sets A, X and a pairing function r ; such a triple is a **Chu space** $\mathcal{S} = (A, X, r)$.
- morphisms the pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A \times X \xrightarrow{r} D \rangle & & \\ u_* \downarrow & \uparrow u^* & \\ \langle B \times Y \xrightarrow{s} D \rangle & & \end{array}$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times Y & & B \times Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \times X & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The construction of $\text{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$: define a category having

- Objects, the triples $\langle A, X, r : A \times Y \rightarrow D \rangle$ of sets A, X and a pairing function r ; such a triple is a **Chu space** $\mathcal{S} = (A, X, r)$.
- morphisms the pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A \times X \xrightarrow{r} D \rangle & & \\ u_* \downarrow & \uparrow u^* & \\ \langle B \times Y \xrightarrow{s} D \rangle & & \end{array}$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times Y & \longrightarrow & B \times Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \times X & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The structure of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$:

- $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$ has a **monoidal structure** (complicated to describe)

¹A Chu object of this form is called **normal**; we shall consider normal Chu spaces over $D = \underline{2}$ where $X \subseteq 2^A$.

The structure of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$:

- $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$ has a **monoidal structure** (complicated to describe)
- $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$ has internal homs (it is **monoidal closed**); complicated to describe

¹A Chu object of this form is called **normal**; we shall consider normal Chu spaces over $D = \underline{2}$ where $X \subseteq 2^A$.

The structure of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$:

- $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$ has a **monoidal structure** (complicated to describe)
- $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$ has internal homs (it is **monoidal closed**); complicated to describe
- there is a monoidal functor

$$i : \mathbf{Set} \hookrightarrow \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$$

sending a set A into $A, X = D^A$, and $X \times D^A \rightarrow D$ is just evaluation.¹

¹A Chu object of this form is called **normal**; we shall consider normal Chu spaces over $D = \underline{2}$ where $X \subseteq 2^A$.

The universal property of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$:

- The functor i given by projection on the first factor has a **right adjoint** R ;

The universal property of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$:

- The functor i given by projection on the first factor has a **right adjoint** R ; the coreflection is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A \times D^A & \xrightarrow{e} & D \rangle \\ u_* \downarrow & & \uparrow u^* \\ \langle A \times X & \xrightarrow{r} & D \rangle \end{array}$$

The universal property of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$:

- The functor i given by projection on the first factor has a **right adjoint** R ; the coreflection is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A \times D^A \xrightarrow{e} D \rangle & & \\ u_* \downarrow & \uparrow u^* & \\ \langle A \times X \xrightarrow{r} D \rangle & & \end{array}$$

where $u_* : A \rightarrow A$ is the identity,

The universal property of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$:

- The functor i given by projection on the first factor has a **right adjoint** R ; the coreflection is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle A \times D^A & \xrightarrow{e} & D \rangle \\ u_* \downarrow & & \uparrow u^* \\ \langle A \times X & \xrightarrow[r]{} & D \rangle \end{array}$$

where $u_* : A \rightarrow A$ is the identity, and u^* is the composition

$$X \xrightarrow{\eta} (X \times A)^A \xrightarrow{r^A} D^A$$

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in \underline{2}^A$.

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in \underline{2}^A$.

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in \underline{2}^A$.

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in \underline{2}^A$.

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in \underline{2}^A$.

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in 2^A$.

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in 2^A$.

We can represent a normal Chu space $\langle X \times A \rightarrow \underline{2} \rangle$ over $\underline{2}$ as a certain kind of matrix:

- rows are in bijection with elements of A
- columns are in bijection with elements of $X \subseteq 2^A$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

an entry represents the value of $r(a, E)$;

- Given that $r(a, E)$ can be interpreted as ' $a \in E$ ' for $E \subseteq A$, the columns represent the characteristic functions of subsets $E \in \underline{2}^A$.

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

We can exploit the ring structure on $\underline{2}$ to extract information about a Chu space: applying ‘bitwise’ operations/relations on the rows of \mathcal{S} we can obtain joins and meets of said rows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right]$$

$$a = b \vee c$$

Weirdly enough,

Theorem

If \mathcal{S} has all proper meets, the “transposed” space \mathcal{S}^t lacks at least one proper join.

Weirdly enough,

Theorem

*If \mathcal{S} has all **proper** meets, the “transposed” space \mathcal{S}^t lacks at least one proper join.*

A join is **proper** if $a \vee b$ is neither a or b .

Weirdly enough,

Theorem

If \mathcal{S} has all *proper* meets, the “transposed” space \mathcal{S}^t lacks at least one *proper* join.

	x	y	$x \wedge y$
a	1	0	0
b	0	1	0
$a \vee b$	1	1	?

A join is *proper* if $a \vee b$ is neither a or b .

We say that a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ between sets is

We say that a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ between sets is

- A **homomorphism** of Chu spaces $(A, X, r), (B, Y, s)$ if the associated function

$$\hat{f} : 2^{D^A} \rightarrow 2^{D^B} : U \mapsto \{g : B \rightarrow D \mid gf \in U\}$$

is such that $\hat{f}(im \bar{r}) \supseteq im \bar{s}$ where

$$\bar{r} : X \rightarrow D^A \quad \bar{s} : Y \rightarrow D^B$$

We say that a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ between sets is

- A **homomorphism** of Chu spaces (A, X, r) , (B, Y, s) if the associated function

$$\hat{f} : 2^{D^A} \rightarrow 2^{D^B} : U \mapsto \{g : B \rightarrow D \mid gf \in U\}$$

is such that $\hat{f}(im \bar{r}) \supseteq im \bar{s}$ where

$$\bar{r} : X \rightarrow D^A \quad \bar{s} : Y \rightarrow D^B$$

- A **continuous map** if there exists a $g = u^* : Y \rightarrow X$ lifting $f = u_*$ to a Chu morphism.

We say that a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ between sets is

- A **homomorphism** of Chu spaces $(A, X, r), (B, Y, s)$ if the associated function

$$\hat{f} : 2^{D^A} \rightarrow 2^{D^B} : U \mapsto \{g : B \rightarrow D \mid gf \in U\}$$

is such that $\hat{f}(im \bar{r}) \supseteq im \bar{s}$ where

$$\bar{r} : X \rightarrow D^A \quad \bar{s} : Y \rightarrow D^B$$

- A **continuous map** if there exists a $g = u^* : Y \rightarrow X$ lifting $f = u_*$ to a Chu morphism.

Theorem

$f : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism if and only if it is continuous.

A roundup of concrete examples

Sets

A Set is a normal Chu space image of the embedding

$$\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$$

So, a set is represented as a $|A| \times |2^A|$ -matrix of 0's and 1's, one column for each subset of A .

Sets

A Set is a normal Chu space image of the embedding

$$\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$$

So, a set is represented as a $|A| \times |2^A|$ -matrix of 0's and 1's, one column for each subset of A .

This is just a verbose way to bookkeep the powerset of A in a table whose columns are the characteristic functions $\chi_U : A \rightarrow 2$ of subsets of A .

Pointed sets

A pointed set is a set A with a distinguished element $a \in A$; given a Chu space (A, X, r) we represent the pointed Chu space \mathcal{S}_+ as \mathcal{S} where we added a new row, constant at 0:

Pointed sets

A pointed set is a set A with a distinguished element $a \in A$; given a Chu space (A, X, r) we represent the pointed Chu space \mathcal{S}_+ as \mathcal{S} where we added a new row, constant at 0:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \mapsto \left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} \star & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Pointed sets

A pointed set is a set A with a distinguished element $a \in A$; given a Chu space (A, X, r) we represent the pointed Chu space \mathcal{S}_+ as \mathcal{S} where we added a new row, constant at 0:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \mapsto \left[\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} \star & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Equivalently (!) we can pick an element $\bar{a} \in A$ and **remove** from \mathcal{S} all the columns $E \in |2^A|$ for which $r(a, E) \neq 0$.

Preorders

- The relation $a \leq b$ for rows of a Chu space \mathcal{S} over $\underline{2}$ means that $\forall E. r(a, E) \leq r(b, E)$;

Preorders

- The relation $a \leq b$ for rows of a Chu space \mathcal{S} over $\underline{2}$ means that $\forall E. r(a, E) \leq r(b, E)$;
- a normal Chu space $\mathcal{S}(A, X \subseteq \underline{2}^A, \underline{2})$ realizes a preorder if and only if the set of its columns is closed under arbitrary pointwise joins and meets;

Preorders

- The relation $a \leq b$ for rows of a Chu space \mathcal{S} over $\underline{2}$ means that $\forall E. r(a, E) \leq r(b, E)$;
- a normal Chu space $\mathcal{S}(A, X \subseteq \underline{2}^A, \underline{2})$ realizes a preorder if and only if the set of its columns is closed under arbitrary pointwise joins and meets;
- the property of being a partial order is a property of **separation**: $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$ implies $a = b$.

Frames (of opens)

A topological space is an extensional Chu space whose columns are closed under **arbitrary union** and **finite** (including empty) **intersection**.

Frames (of opens)

A topological space is an extensional Chu space whose columns are closed under **arbitrary union** and **finite** (including empty) **intersection**. The Chu homomorphisms between topological spaces are exactly the continuous functions: whence the name continuous for a homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$.

A generic recipe to build dualities in $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$

Many categories of everyday use embed into $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$;

A generic recipe to build dualities in $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$

Many categories of everyday use embed into $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$;
given that $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$ is equivalent to its opposite, a general recipe
to build a duality between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is to characterise \mathcal{D} as **the image**
of \mathcal{C} under the self-equivalence of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$.

A generic recipe to build dualities in $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$

Many categories of everyday use embed into $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$;
given that $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$ is equivalent to its opposite, a general recipe
to build a duality between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is to characterise \mathcal{D} as **the image
of \mathcal{C} under the self-equivalence of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$** .

This works for **Stone** (and Stone-like) duality!

A generic recipe to build dualities in $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$

Many categories of everyday use embed into $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$;
given that $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$ is equivalent to its opposite, a general recipe
to build a duality between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is to characterise \mathcal{D} as **the image
of \mathcal{C} under the self-equivalence of $\underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, \underline{2})$** .

This works for **Stone** (and Stone-like) duality!

It works in other examples too.

It does not work always: embedding (abelian) groups asks for ‘big’ representation alphabet.

In fact, there is **no embedding** of the category of groups in $\text{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, D)$ for any *finite* set D ; (what about an infinite set?)

There are nice embedding results of categories relevant to topology and **Quantum Mechanics** into $\text{Chu}(\mathbf{Set}, I)$ where I is a closed interval of \mathbb{R} .

Wrap up

Is there a general theorem?

Wrap up

Is there a general theorem?

More a general principle; but let's keep learning.

Wrap up

Is there a general theorem?

More a general principle; but let's keep learning.

We can read the above positive and negative results 'backwards':
there is always a faithful functor

$$j : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, 2) : C \mapsto \langle UC, UC \times \mathcal{C}(C, 2) \rightarrow 2 \rangle$$

when \mathcal{C} is concrete via a functor $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$;

Wrap up

Is there a general theorem?

More a general principle; but let's keep learning.

We can read the above positive and negative results 'backwards':
there is always a faithful functor

$$j : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Chu}}(\mathbf{Set}, 2) : C \mapsto \langle UC, UC \times \mathcal{C}(C, 2) \rightarrow 2 \rangle$$

when \mathcal{C} is concrete via a functor $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$;

a sufficient condition for j to be also full is that the pair $UC \times \mathcal{C}(C, 2)$
'completely determines' the \mathcal{C} -structure on C .

Wrap up

This sheds a light on the counterexample of groups:

Wrap up

This sheds a light on the counterexample of groups:
the carrier $|G|$ and the set of group homomorphisms $\text{hom}(G, \underline{2})$
does not determine the whole structure of G ;

Wrap up

This sheds a light on the counterexample of groups:
the carrier $|G|$ and the set of group homomorphisms $\text{hom}(G, \underline{2})$
does not determine the whole structure of G ;
for example, it is impossible to decide whether $G = C_{25}$ or
 $G = C_5 \times C_5$ from the fact that $\text{hom}(G, C_2) = 0$ and $|G| = 25$

